



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,713	12/09/2003	Paul Durrant	03226/368001	5463

32615 7590 07/09/2007  
OSHA LIANG L.L.P./SUN  
1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800  
HOUSTON, TX 77010

EXAMINER
----------

ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2163

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/09/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/731,713

Applicant(s)

DURRANT, PAUL

Examiner

Helene Rose

Art Unit

2163

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Helene Rose.

(3) Aty. Z. Dossa.

(2) Aty. Annemarie Dahm.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 39-75.

Identification of prior art discussed: Glew et al (5,948,097).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Aty. Annemarie Dahm and Aty. Z. Dossa explained the novelty of the invention to the Examiner and how they felt the claim invention differs in the trigger to switch from privilege mode and non-privilege mode according to prior art used (Glew). The claimed invention comprises a method to "request a memory address"; "receiving a memory access request to access a memory address"; "determination whether the memory address is in the privileged region of memory in response to a request"; and switching the system to privilege mode if the memory address is determined to be in the privileged region of memory. Examiner explained her perception of the present claimed invention and how the prior art does convey the present invention according to the recited claim language. Examiner further explained her interpretation of an "memory address", The Examiner also recommend to provide more clarity to the step of "determining whether the memory address is in the privileged region of the memory in response to the request". Therefore, further clarification is needed to clearly convey the present claimed invention.